

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,631	1 04/27/2001		Wendong Zhen	925-192	8827	
23117	7590	03/27/2003				
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER			
				DIAZ, J	DIAZ, JOSE R	
ARLINGTON	N, VA 2	2201-4714		* (		
	,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\\
		09/842,631	ZHEN, WENDONG	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		José R Díaz	2815	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
THE - Ex aff - If f - If f - Fa - An ea	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. c, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.
Status	7. Decrease to the communication (a) filed as 40.	T-1		
1)∑				
2a)[_		nis action is non-final.		
3)_ Dispos	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ition of Claims			S
• _	Claim(s) <u>23,24,28 and 30-36</u> is/are pending ir	the application		
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		
5)[≻	Claim(s) <u>30,33 and 35</u> is/are allowed.			
· _	Claim(s) <u>23,24,28, 32, 34 and 36</u> is/are reject	ed.		
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
	ition Papers	•		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[	] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) dbjected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12)[	] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a	ı)⊠ All b)  Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received ir	Application No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		on).
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.	,
](15 Attachme	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. 99 120 and/01 121.	
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) 🔲 No	tice of References Cited (P10-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) trimation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/842,631

Art Unit: 2815

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 13, 2003 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

➤ Claims 28, 32, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 28 and 32 recite the limitation of "higher than those of the other layers". It is not clear what and how many layers are defined by the phrase "other layers." Does "other layers" include only the three intermediate layers or include the three intermediate layers and the uppermost layer? Clarification is required.

Claims 34 and 36 are rejected due to their dependency on claim 32.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

➤ The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-24 and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogata et al. (JP 10-321809).

Regarding claims 23-24 and 28, Ogata et al. teach a lower electrode (4), a ferroelectric thin film constructed of at least three layers (6, 8) including a lowermost layer (6), an intermediate layer (8), and an uppermost layer (consider the smaller grains formed between the electrode (9) and the intermediate layer (8)); and an upper electrode (9) (see Figure 1(d)). In addition, Ogata et al. teach that a crystal grain of the lowermost layer (6) and/or the uppermost layer is smaller than a crystal grain of the intermediate layer (8) (see Fig. 1(d)). Further, Ogata et al. teach that the crystalline nucleus density of the lowermost layer (6) is higher than those of the other layers (8) (see Fig. 1(d)).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 30-31, 33 and 35 are allowed.
- ➤ Claims 32, 34 and 36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach a ferroelectric thin film constructed of five layers including a lowermost layer, three intermediate layers, and an uppermost layer; wherein the crystal grains of the lowermost layer and/or uppermost layer is smaller than the crystal grains of the three intermediate layers.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,631

Art Unit: 2815

Further, the prior art fails to teach a ferroelectric thin film constructed of five layers including a lowermost layer, three intermediate layers, and an uppermost layer; wherein the crystalline nucleus density of the lowermost layer is higher than the three intermediate layers.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference Ogata et al. does not teach the limitation of "an upper electrode directly laminated, on one side, to said uppermost electrode" (emphasis added). However, the Examiner disagrees. Please note that the term "laminated" does not limit the invention to only be in contact with the uppermost layer, as now argued by Applicant. As a matter of fact, the term laminated does not preclude other possibilities such as the one shown in Figure 1(d) of Ogata et al., in which the uppermost electrode contacts both the uppermost layer and the intermediate layer. Therefore, the rejection is considered to be proper since the reference Ogata et al. anticipates the claimed limitation.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are related to the present invention: Figures 1 and 7 of Shimada et al. (US Pat. No. 6,033, 920); Figures 1(a)-(d) of Matsuda et al. (US Pat. No.6,143,597); Figures 5-6 of Haushalter (US Pat. No. 5,736,759); and Figures 4-5 and 7 of Suzuki (US Pat. No. 6,151,240).

Application/Control Number: 09/842,631

Art Unit: 2815

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6078. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 746-3891 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JRD March 21, 2003

> EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5